

100000 TELEGRAM

Department of State

ACTION COPY

16-43

action

EUR

info

RMR

SS

W

G

SP

C

SAE

L

IO

INR

H

SECRET

Control: 18590

Rec'd: April 28, 1959

9:09 p.m.

FROM: Paris

TO: Secretary of State

NO: POLTO 3106, April 28, 9 p.m. (SECTION ONE OF TWO)

PRIORITY

SENT DEPARTMENT POLTO 3106; REPEATED INFORMATION BONN POLTO 342, LONDON POLTO 826, MOSCOW POLTO 173, BERLIN POLTO 72.

POUCHED OTHER NATO CAPITALS POLTO UNNUMBERED

Reference: 'POLTO 3099

NAC meeting (private) April 27 -- Four Power Working Group Report to NAC

Following supplements report in reference telegram.

Belgium opened two and a half hour NAC discussion with lengthy statement and series questions. Realized difficulty steering course between rigid adherence to fundamental principles and flexibility necessary for successful negotiations but asked meaning of phrase "indissoluble package" in introductory section report. Does it mean package to be offered at outset with possibility of detaching certain elements later or will there be insistence package be kept intact throughout negotiations? Asked if certain points, e.g. surprise attack, could not be singled out for negotiation in case Soviets reject deal. Re Berlin, thought it might be possible obtain improvement during negotiations with GDR. This would not mean any recognition GDR but merely taking account fact GDR exists. Same point involved in establishment mixed committee. Re mixed all-German committee, thought terms reference imprecise which seems dangerous. Suggested mixed committee should evolve constitutional law encompassing an electoral law rather than simply electoral law. Difficulty in talking about electoral law

SECRET

UNLESS "UNCLASSIFIED" REPRODUCTION FROM THIS COPY IS PROHIBITED.

PERMANENT

RECORD COPY • This copy must be returned to RM/R central files with

This Document must be returned to the Foreign Central Files

REPRODUCED AT THE NATIONAL ARCHIVES

761.00/4-2859

CLASSIFIED FILE

DECLASSIFIED

Authority

NND 887404

By

NARA, Date 1-8-76

SECRET

-2- POLTO 3106, April 28, 9 p.m. (SECTION 1 of 2) from Paris.

law based on population was that Soviets would believe West Germany would be in control by virtue greater population. Constitutional law might then be submitted to plebiscite. Also feared Russians might prolong committee beyond three years with enlarged scope to operations. Re European security, thought there was danger in being over-precise re zones, yet recognized advantages presenting definite proposals stage 2;

Italy generally pleased with report, particularly indications flexible approach which allows possibility negotiations. Liked concept of stages. Suggested possibility participation in stage 2 of technical experts on controls, surprise attack, etc. had same questions as Belgium re meaning "indissoluble package". Asked Council be given more information re Berlin emergency planning and tactics (see reference telegram).

Greece questioned need statement re non-aggression stage 1, pointing out already in United Nations declaration. Repetition here might give people's false sense security. Public should realize such statement no guarantee against surprise attack.

Spaak then suggested report should be discussed in orderly fashion by chapter. Thought it important Council should know precise meaning word "indissoluble".

(At this point French noted working group report not approved by governments and difficult for four permanent representatives reply to questions before Ministers meeting). Does it apply only to German unification or to unification and European security together? Is Peace Treaty also linked? Feared Soviets would not accept any plan if German reunification a prerequisite.

United Kingdom recalled that difficulty of being precise about successive stages negotiation had already been discussed with NAC. Pointed out working group proposals not too far from Orthodox position and based on free elections because this is firm Western position and Soviets agreed to it in 1955. Degree indissolubility package mainly question

SECRET

REPRODUCED AT THE NATIONAL ARCHIVES

DECLASSIFIED

Authority NND 887404

By 42 NARA Date 1-8-78

SECRET

-3- POLTO 3106, April 28, 9 p.m., (SECTION 1 of 2) from Paris.

question tactics for four Foreign Ministers who hoped for NAC views.

Dutch thought that on question indissolubility there are two problems: (1) What we say among ourselves; and (2) what we say to Soviets. He then read comments re paragraph 2 of working group report contained in Dutch statement (being sent addressees POLTO G-987 to Washington) which approved "indissoluble package" concept and stressed necessity prior NAC consultation if any item extracted, for separate consideration.

Denmark, supported by Norway and Canada, concerned at concept package deal. Agreed proposal should be so presented but it should not be decided beforehand that no part could be extracted if this seemed advantageous to West. Canada thought package deals would not work, too complicated for popular understanding and phased over too long a time.

Germany, after noting working group report not yet approved by Chancellor, noted important present proposals as "indissoluble package" to prevent Soviets thinking they could pick up points upon which they wished negotiate.

Norway wanted make clear it favored presenting coherent strong position and did not want to close door to possibility later extracting parts of plan for separate discussion.

Spaak again stated his fear that since Soviets will never accept concept free elections and would therefore turn down package plan there would then be no discussion of European security. Noted working group had provided for exception in case of Berlin and hoped Ministers would tell NAC how they interpret word "indissoluble".

BURGESS

AAL/5

SECRET

REPRODUCED AT THE NATIONAL ARCHIVES

CLASSIFIED FILE

DECLASSIFIED

Authority

AND 887404

By

NARA, Date

1-8-70

AG TELEGRAM

Department of State

ACTION COPY

SECRET

action

EUR  
info

RMR

SS

W

G

SP

C

SAE

L

IO

INR

H

FROM: PARIS

TO: Secretary of State

NO: POLTO 3106, APRIL 28, NOON (SECTION 2 OF 2)

Control: 18579

Rec'd: APRIL 28, 1959  
8:12 PM

PRIORITY

SENT DEPARTMENT POLTO 3106; REPEATED INFORMATION BONN POLTO 542, LONDON POLTO 826, MOSCOW POLTO 173, BERLIN, POLTO 72

POUCHED OTHER NATO CAPITALS POLTO UNNUMBERED.

SPAARK THEN TURNED TO IDEA MIXED COMMITTEE. DID NOT FOLLOW SUBTLETIES BELGIAN DEFINITION POSITION PREVIOUSLY STATED AND THOUGHT FORMATION MIXED COMMITTEE DANGEROUS STEP TOWARD RECOGNITION GDR. FEARED SOVIETS WOULD SEIZE ON THIS POINT AS CONSTITUTING SUCH RECOGNITION OF GDR WHICH IS ONE OF PRINCIPAL RUSSIAN OBJECTIVES.

UNITED KINGDOM SAID WORKING GROUP RECOGNIZED DANGERS BUT WERE ATTEMPTING ELABORATE EDEN PLAN WITH THIS DEVICE AND THAT OF POSTPONING FREE ELECTIONS. NOTED THAT IN UNITED KINGDOM VIEW DANGERS LESSENER BY FACT WEST GERMANY MUCH STRONGER THAN EAST GERMANY.

SPAARK THEN SAID SOME OF THE DANGERS WOULD BE DIMINISHED IF IT WAS MIXED INTERNATIONAL RATHER THAN PURELY GERMAN COMMITTEE. CANADA FELT STRONGLY MIXED COMMITTEE IDEA SHOULD BE RETAINED.

UNITED STATES THEN SAID IMPORTANT TO REMEMBER MIXED COMMITTEE ESTABLISHED IN SECOND STAGE AFTER ENDORSEMENT OF REUNIFICATION IN FIRST STAGE. IMPORTANT TO MAKE CLEAR SOVIETS CANNOT PULL PARTS OUT OF PACKAGE AT WILL. SHOULD BE EMPHASIZED THESE MATTERS NOT ARTIFICIALLY JOINED BUT

PRACTICE

SECRET

CLASSIFIED FILE

UNLESS "UNCLASSIFIED" REPRODUCTION FROM THIS

PERMANENT

RECORD COPY • This copy must be returned to RM/R central files with no

DECLASSIFIED

Authority

NND 887404

By

NARA, Date 1-8-70

REPRODUCED AT THE NATIONAL ARCHIVES

SECRET

-2- POLTO 3106, APRIL 28, NOON (SECTION 2 OF 2) FROM PARIS

PRACTICE PLAN OF WHAT COULD BE WORKED OUT AS ONE COHERENT WHOLE.

DISCUSSION THEN TURNED TO EUROPEAN SECURITY AND DISARMAMENT SECTION OF REPORT. NORWAY ASKED WHAT HAPPENS IN STAGE 2 WHICH SEEMED ONLY A STAGE OF DISCUSSION. THOUGHT WEST SHOULD NOT BE TOO HESITANT TO PROPOSE SPECIFIC ACTIONS IN THIS STAGE.

BELGIUM AGREED REPORT VAGUE AS TO DETAILS BUT POINTED OUT STAGE 2 WAS ONE OF REACHING AGREEMENTS WHICH WOULD BE BINDING ONLY AFTER REUNIFICATION.

DUTCH AGAIN ALLUDED TO COMMENTS IN DUTCH STATEMENT ON PARAGRAPHS 12, 13 AND 14 OF REPORT. WAS CONCERNED THAT NO POSITION RE SIZE OF AREA OF LIMITATION OF FORCES OR MEASURES AGAINST SURPRISE ATTACK BE TAKEN WITHOUT FULL NAC CONSULTATION.

SPAARK THEN COMMENTED THAT DISCUSSION HAD REVEALED THAT WORKING GROUP CONCEIVED OF REUNIFICATION MEASURES AND STEPS IN EUROPEAN SECURITY AS BEING INDISSOLUBLE. THIS CLARIFIED MEANING OF PHRASE IN INTRODUCTION TO REPORT.

GERMANY THEN MADE STATEMENT RE ZONE OF INSPECTION AND ARMS LIMITATION NOTED REFTEL. SPAARK, NORWAY AND UNITED KINGDOM MADE COMMENTS ALSO NOTED REFTEL. CANADA EXPRESSED CONCERN AT GERMAN STATEMENT AND PROMISED TO CIRCULATE FURTHER COMMENTS LATER.

B. ITALY ALSO WAS CONCERNED AT GERMAN STATEMENT. IF IT MEANT GERMANY AGAINST ALL INSPECTION MEASURES AS PROMOTING SPYING THIS WAS VERY SERIOUS POSITION.

DISCUSSION THEN TURNED TO PEACE TREATY SECTION REPORT. SPAARK THOUGHT SECTION VERY VAGUE, ASKED WHAT IT MEANT AND WHAT WAS DIFFERENCE BETWEEN PROPOSING TEXT OF TREATY AND SIMPLY PRINCIPLES.

UNITED

SECRET

REPRODUCED AT THE NATIONAL ARCHIVES

DECLASSIFIED	
Authority	NND 887404
By	AL NARA Date 1-8-70

SECRET

-3- POLTO 3106, APRIL 28, NOON (SECTION 2 OF 2) FROM PARIS

UNITED KINGDOM THOUGHT THESE WOULD BE SORT OF POINTS COVERED IN TREATIES HERETOFORE, SUCH AS GUARANTEE OF HUMAN RIGHTS, FREEDOM OF GERMANY TO CHOOSE ALLIANCES. THOUGHT GENERAL IDEA BEHIND WORKING GROUP PROPOSALS WAS TO HAVE SOMETHING ON HAND TO PRODUCE SINCE SOVIETS WOULD PROBABLY INSIST ON TALKING ABOUT THE PEACE TREATY. MIGHT BE BETTER FOR WEST HAVE ADVANCE ON PROPOSALS RATHER THAN SIMPLY SIT AND LISTEN.

THERE FOLLOWED GENERAL DISCUSSION WITH SEVERAL MEMBERS FAVORING IDEA ADVANCING PRINCIPLES PEACE TREATY AND SPAAK MAKING HIS FAMILIAR ARGUMENTS AGAINST DOING SO.

SECTIONS OF REPORT ON BERLIN AND TACTICS PASSED OVER WITHOUT DISCUSSION.

SPAAK THEN SUGGESTED THERE SHOULD BE FURTHER NAC DISCUSSION ABOUT PROCEDURES FOR KEEPING NAC INFORMED DURING FORTHCOMING NEGOTIATIONS. UNITED KINGDOM RECALLED PROPOSALS MADE IN WASHINGTON FOR REPORT TO NAC BY MINISTERS AND FELT SURE MINISTERS WOULD DISCUSS QUESTION KEEPING NAC INFORMED DURING NEGOTIATIONS.

BURGESS

OES

REPRODUCED AT THE NATIONAL ARCHIVES

CLASSIFIED FILE

SECRET

DECLASSIFIED	
Authority	NND 887404
By	AL NARA Date 1-8-70